

Symplectic 4–manifolds and fibered 3–manifolds

Stefan Friedl
(joint with Stefano Vidussi)

Brandeis University, June 2008

Symplectic 4-manifolds.

Definition. A 4-manifold M is called symplectic if there exists a closed 2-form ω such that $\omega \wedge \omega \neq 0$ everywhere.

Thurston proved the following in 1976.

Theorem. If N is a closed fibered 3-manifold, then $S^1 \times N$ is symplectic.

We can now show that the converse holds:

Theorem (F–Vidussi 2008). Let N be a closed 3-manifold. If $S^1 \times N$ is symplectic, then N is fibered.

In fact using constructions of symplectic forms in an earlier paper (generalizing Thurston and Fernandez–Gray–Morgan) we can completely determine the symplectic cone, i.e. which $a \in H^2(S^1 \times N; \mathbb{R})$ can be represented by a symplectic form.

Twisted Alexander polynomials.

We use twisted Alexander polynomials as our main tool.

Definition. Let N a 3–manifold, $\phi \in H^1(N; \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(N), \mathbb{Z})$ and $\tilde{\pi} \subset \pi = \pi_1(N)$ a finite index subgroup. Consider the twisted $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ –module

$$H_1(N; \mathbb{Z}[\pi/\tilde{\pi}][t^{\pm 1}]).$$

We denote by $\Delta_{N, \phi}^{\pi/\tilde{\pi}}$ its order, called twisted Alexander polynomial.

E.g. if $H_1(N; \mathbb{Z}[\pi/\tilde{\pi}][t^{\pm 1}]) = \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]/p_i(t)$, then $\Delta_{N, \phi}^{\pi/\tilde{\pi}} = \prod p_i(t)$.

Example. If $N = S^3 \setminus K$, $\phi \in H^1(S^3 \setminus K; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ a generator and $\tilde{\pi} = \pi$, then $\Delta_{N, \phi}^{\pi/\tilde{\pi}} = \Delta_K$ is the ordinary Alexander polynomial of the knot K .

Fibered manifolds and twisted Alexander polynomials

Defn. Given N and $\phi \in H^1(N; \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(N), \mathbb{Z})$ we say that (N, ϕ) fibers if there exists a fibration $p : N \rightarrow S^1$ with $p_* = \phi : \text{Hom}(\pi_1(N), \pi_1(S^1))$.

The following is due to Cha, Goda–Kitano–Morifuji, F–Kim:

Theorem. If (N, ϕ) fibers, then for any finite index $\tilde{\pi} \subset \pi$ we have that

- (1) $\Delta_{N, \phi}^{\pi/\tilde{\pi}}$ is monic,
- (2) the degree of $\Delta_{N, \phi}^{\pi/\tilde{\pi}}$ is determined by $\|\phi\|_T$.

(Monic means that the top coefficient equals ± 1)

The theorem generalizes the fact that for a fibered knot K the Alexander polynomial Δ_K is monic and $\deg \Delta_K = 2\text{genus}K$.

Symplectic manifolds and twisted Alexander polynomials

The following generalizes a result of Kronheimer.

Theorem (F–Vidussi). If $S^1 \times N$ is symplectic, then there exists $\phi \in H^1(N; \mathbb{Z})$ such that for any finite index subgroup $\tilde{\pi} \subset \pi$ we have that

- (1) $\Delta_{N, \phi}^{\pi/\tilde{\pi}}$ is monic,
- (2) the degree of $\Delta_{N, \phi}^{\pi/\tilde{\pi}}$ is determined by $\|\phi\|_T$.

Proof. (1) Use Taubes' results on Seiberg–Witten invariants of all finite (and symplectic!) covers of $S^1 \times N$.

(2) Apply Meng–Taubes to get information on Alexander polynomials.

So we have to show that twisted Alexander polynomials detect fibered 3–manifolds.

The main theorem.

Theorem (F–Vidussi). Let N be a 3–manifold with empty or toroidal boundary. Let $\phi \in H^1(N; \mathbb{Z})$ such that for any finite index subgroup $\tilde{\pi} \subset \pi$ we have that

(A) $\Delta_{N, \phi}^{\pi/\tilde{\pi}}$ is monic,

(B) the degree of $\Delta_{N, \phi}^{\pi/\tilde{\pi}}$ is determined by $\|\phi\|_T$,
then (N, ϕ) fibers over S^1 .

Corollary. The collection of Seiberg–Witten invariants of all finite covers of $S^1 \times N$ ‘knows’ whether $S^1 \times N$ is symplectic or not.

The first ingredient of the proof. Throughout assume we have a closed 3–manifold N and $\phi \in H^1(N; \mathbb{Z})$ primitive. Let $\Sigma \subset N$ be a connected Thurston norm minimizing surface dual to ϕ .

Theorem A. If (N, ϕ) satisfies (A) and (B) for any finite index $\tilde{\pi} \subset \pi$, then for either inclusion ι_{\pm}

$$\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma)$$

induces an isomorphism of prosolvable completions.

Note that $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ induces an isomorphism of prosolvable completions if and only if for any finite solvable group S we have a bijection

$$\varphi^* : \text{Hom}(B, S) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, S),$$

and if for any $\beta : B \rightarrow S$ we have

$$\text{Im}(A \rightarrow B \rightarrow S) = \text{Im}(B \rightarrow S).$$

Note that Theorem A can not be enough to conclude that $\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma)$ is an isomorphism, e.g. given an Alexander polynomial one knot K the map $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_1(S^3 \setminus K)$ induces an isomorphism of prosolvable completions.

The three ingredients. Throughout assume have N and $\phi \in H^1(N; \mathbb{Z})$ primitive, $\Sigma \subset N$ Thurston norm minimizing dual to ϕ .

Theorem A. If (N, ϕ) satisfies (A) and (B) for any $\tilde{\pi} \subset \pi$, then for either inclusion ι_{\pm}

$$\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma)$$

induces an isomorphism of prosolvable completions.

The following is well-known for hyperbolic mfd's.

Theorem B (F–Aschenbrenner). Let W any 3–manifold, then $\pi_1(W)$ is virtually residually p .

Building on a result of Agol we show:

Theorem C. If $\pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma)$ is residually finite solvable and if

$$\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma)$$

induces an isomorphism of prosolvable completions, then $\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof of main theorem using Theorems A, B and C

Theorem (F–Vidussi). Let N be a 3–manifold with empty or toroidal boundary. Let $\phi \in H^1(N; \mathbb{Z})$ such that for any finite index subgroup $\tilde{\pi} \subset \pi$ we have that

(A) $\Delta_{N, \phi}^{\pi/\tilde{\pi}}$ is monic,

(B) the degree of $\Delta_{N, \phi}^{\pi/\tilde{\pi}}$ is determined by $\|\phi\|_T$,
then (N, ϕ) fibers over S^1 .

Proof. Given (N, ϕ) let $p : \tilde{N} \rightarrow N$ a finite cover. Write $\tilde{\phi} = p^{-1}(\phi)$. Then

(1) (N, ϕ) fibers if and only if $(\tilde{N}, \tilde{\phi})$ fibers.

(2) (N, ϕ) satisfies (A) and (B) if and only if $(\tilde{N}, \tilde{\phi})$ does.

So by Theorem B we only have to prove the theorem for N with $\pi_1(N)$ residually p , in particular we can assume that $\pi_1(N)$ (and hence $\pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma)$) is residually finite solvable. We can also assume that ϕ is primitive. Theorems A and C now give the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem A (1).

Assume we have (N, ϕ) such that for any finite index subgroup $\tilde{\pi} \subset \pi$ we have that

(A) $\Delta_{N, \phi}^{\pi/\tilde{\pi}}$ is monic,

(B) the degree of $\Delta_{N, \phi}^{\pi/\tilde{\pi}}$ determined by $\|\phi\|_T$.

We claim that $\iota_{\pm} : \pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma)$ induces an isomorphism of prosolvable completions, i.e. for any finite solvable group S the map

$$\iota_{\pm}^* : \text{Hom}(\pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma), S) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\pi_1(\Sigma), S)$$

is a bijection and for any $\beta : \pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma) \rightarrow S$ we have

$$\text{Im}(\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma) \rightarrow S) = \text{Im}(\pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma) \rightarrow S).$$

A M–V argument shows that (A) and (B) imply that for any $\alpha : \pi_1(N) \rightarrow G$ with G finite, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}\{\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_1(N) \rightarrow G\} &= \text{Im}\{\pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma) \rightarrow G\} \\ H_1(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}[G]) &\xrightarrow{\cong} H_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}[G]) \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\pi_1(\Sigma)/[\text{Ker}(\alpha), \text{Ker}(\alpha)] \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma)/[\text{Ker}(\alpha), \text{Ker}(\alpha)].$$

Note that this is only information for homomorphisms from $\pi_1(N)$ to a finite group.

Proof of Theorem A (2). Note that with G trivial we get $H_1(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{H} (N \setminus \nu\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$, i.e. the conditions above hold for S any finite abelian group.

Now we have to show that $\iota_{\pm} : \pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma)$ looks like an isomorphism ‘on the level of finite metabelian groups’. For example let $\beta : \pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma) \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism to a finite metabelian group. We need that

$$\text{Im}\{\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma) \rightarrow S\} = \text{Im}\{\pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma) \rightarrow S\}.$$

The problem is that we can a priori not extend $\beta : \pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma) \rightarrow S$ to a homomorphism from $\pi_1(N)$.

Now let P be the abelian group $P = S/[S, S]$ and write $n = |P|$ and $H := H_1(N \setminus \Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$. Since $\iota_{\pm} : H_1(\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma)$ we can extend $\pi : \pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma) \rightarrow H \rightarrow H/nH$ (a characteristic quotient) to

$$\pi_1(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times H/nH \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/k \times H/nH.$$

for some k . (So we reduced the solvability length of S to extend the homomorphism from $\pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma)$ over $\pi_1(N)$).

Proof of Theorem A (3). Recall that we started with a map $\beta : \pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma) \rightarrow S$ to a finite metabelian group. We write $P = S/[S, S]$, $n = |P|$ and $H := H_1(N \setminus \Sigma)$. We can extend

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : \pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma) &\rightarrow H \rightarrow H/nH \text{ to} \\ \alpha : \pi_1(N) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times H/nH \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/k \times H/nH. \end{aligned}$$

(reduced solvability length of S to extend over $\pi_1(N)$).

On the other hand we saw that for any $\alpha : \pi_1(N) \rightarrow G$, we have

$$\pi_1(\Sigma)/[\text{Ker}(\alpha), \text{Ker}(\alpha)] \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma)/[\text{Ker}(\alpha), \text{Ker}(\alpha)].$$

With $G = \mathbb{Z}/k \times H/nH$ we immediately get that

$$\pi_1(\Sigma)/[\text{Ker}(\pi), \text{Ker}(\pi)] \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma)/[\text{Ker}(\pi), \text{Ker}(\pi)].$$

Put differently, with $\pi : \pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma) \rightarrow H \rightarrow H/nH$ a homomorphism to an abelian group we now get metabelian information again, i.e. we recuperated the ‘solvability length’ we gave up in order to extend a homomorphism to $\pi_1(N)$. But

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma) & \rightarrow & \pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma)/[\text{Ker}(\pi), \text{Ker}(\pi)] \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & S. \end{array}$$

So we get the result for finite metabelian S . We now induct on solvability length of S .

Proof of Theorem B.

Theorem B (F–Aschenbrenner). $\pi_1(N)$ is virtually residually p .

It is well-known that finitely generated linear groups (subgroups of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$) are virtually residually p for almost all primes p . In particular hyperbolic 3-manifold groups are virtually residually p .

An argument similar to Hempel's proof that 3-manifold groups are residually finite now shows that all 3-manifold groups are virtually residually p .

Proof of Theorem C (1).

Let $\Sigma \subset N$. We have two inclusions $\iota_{\pm} : \Sigma \rightarrow M$.

Theorem C. If $\pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma)$ is residually finite solvable and if

$$\iota_{\pm} : \pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma)$$

induce an isomorphism of prosolvable completions, then $\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_1(N \setminus \nu\Sigma)$ is an isomorphism.

The main tool is a theorem of Ian Agol. We need:

Definition. A group π is called RFRS if π is residually finite solvable and ‘the rank of finite index subgroups grows quickly with the index’.

Remark.

- (1) Free groups and surface groups are RFRS.
- (2) Most 3-manifold groups are not RFRS.
- (3) Are hyperbolic 3-manifold groups virtually RFRS?
- (4) The $\pi_1(M)$ above is RFRS since ‘solvably’ $\pi_1(M)$ looks the same as a surface group.

Proof of Theorem C (2).

Agol's amazing theorem:

Theorem (Agol). Let W 3-manifold, $\pi_1(W)$ RFRS and $\phi \in H^1(W)$. Then there exists a finite solvable cover $p : \hat{W} \rightarrow W$ such that $p^*(\phi)$ lies on the closure of a fibered cone.

(In particular W is virtually fibered.)

We need a slightly different version.

Theorem (Agol). Let $M = N \setminus \nu\Sigma$ and W the double of $M = N \setminus \nu\Sigma$. If $\pi_1(M)$ is RFRS then there exists a homomorphism $\pi_1(W) \rightarrow \pi_1(M) \rightarrow S$ to a finite solvable group S such that for the corresponding cover $p : \hat{W} \rightarrow W$ of W the element $\hat{\Sigma} = p^*(\Sigma)$ lies on the closure of a fibered cone.

Proof of Theorem C (3).

Recall we have $\Sigma \subset N$ and write $M = N \setminus \nu\Sigma$. We assume that $\pi_1(M)$ is residually finite solvable and that

$$\iota_{\pm} : \pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$$

induce an isomorphism of prosolvable completions. Let W the double of $M = N \setminus \nu\Sigma$. We want to show that M is a product, i.e. Σ lies in the *interior* of a fibered cone of W .

Since $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ is RFRS, $\pi_1(M)$ is also RFRS. By Agol there exists a solvable cover $p : \widehat{W} \rightarrow W$ of W such that $\widehat{\Sigma} = p^*(\Sigma)$ lies on the *closure* of a fibered cone.

Without loss of generality we can assume that already Σ lies in the closure of a fibered cone (Since $\widehat{\Sigma}$ is a fiber iff Σ is a fiber).

But how do we get from Σ in the closure of a fibered cone to Σ in the interior of a fibered cone?

Proof of Theorem C (4).

Recall we have $\Sigma \subset N$ and write $M = N \setminus \nu\Sigma$. We assume that

$$\iota_{\pm} : \pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$$

induce an isomorphism of prosolvable completions. Let W be the double of $M = N \setminus \nu\Sigma$. We also assume that Σ lies in the closure of a fibered cone. We have to show that Σ lies in the interior of a fibered cone.

If Σ lies in the cone on the *boundary* of a fibered face, then using the natural involution on the double W one can see that it lies on the boundary of at least *two* fibered faces.

But algebraically W looks like $\Sigma \times S^1$, i.e. Σ lies in the interior of a face of the Alexander norm (the algebraic version of the Thurston norm). But for fibered classes the Alexander norm agrees with the Thurston norm, which gives a contradiction.